

# Opinion Page

AUGUST 28, 1998

## Clinton an admitted liar

By Al Woodson

Ship's Log: Monday, 17 August 1998, a day that will live in infamy. The Clinton presidential address stressed, "I misled people ... I deeply regret that." Translation: "I lied and I'm sorry I got caught!"

Guest  
View



Bill Clinton's credibility is sinking below zero level; he has used his spinmeisters, his wife, aides and advisors, and elected Democrats alike, to lie for him, lose their good names for him, accrue legal bills for him and end up just being nitwits for him. In his black-Monday night non-apology-apology he states he gave a "false impression" and "I misled people?" When Clinton looked us in the eye and said, "I did not have sexual relations with that woman," he was doing a lot more than giving a false impression or misleading people. He was lying through his teeth.

That night the scene was typical Slick Willie — moments that define this presidency. If this was an apology, it was strange that "I'm sorry" never crossed his lips. Linda Bowles, in an Aug. 12 commentary in the *Conservative Chronicle*, describes Clinton this way, "We are dealing with a hollow, calculating man who is unburdened by an active conscience and unimpeded by a sense of right and wrong."

Clinton is the "almost" president. He almost got a draft notice, he almost inhaled, he almost had an affair with Gennifer Flowers, he almost groped Kathleen Willey, he almost had sex with Monica, and he was almost subpoenaed by the grand jury. Adding to that, he was almost a Rhodes Scholar, he almost witnessed church burnings in Arkansas, he almost looted a savings and loan, he almost framed travel office workers, he almost hired Craig Livingstone,

he almost received illegal FBI files and he and Al Gore almost solicited unlawful campaign contributions.

Currently are the admissions of lying under oath (a thin legal point notwithstanding), obstruction of justice (withholding records and raising false privileges and generally misleading people), alleged witness tampering (threats to Linda Tripp and Kathleen Willey) and suborning perjury (encouraging his victims to lie).

A less known, but an "open secret" of the presidential campaigns of 1992 and 1996 was the unlikely conspiracy between Ross Perot and Bill Clinton. Perot was to siphon off angry conservative voters from the Republicans while Clinton united the liberal socialist New-Democrats under the phony banners of relativism (all truth and morals are up for grabs), multiculturalism (group rights are superior to individual rights), pluralism (ethnic, racial, religious, or social groups are superior to a single American identity), political correctness (only liberal viewpoints are correct), and the "new tolerance" (acceptance of all amoral convictions and deviant life-styles). All flim-flam, while Clinton lies, in the open, about embracing conservative values and issues. The bottom line — open lying about Republican values, the stealthy appeals to instructive ideals of liberalism and a third party in the race — Clinton wins — and it worked — twice!

Clinton should step down, but few Democrats to date have called for his resignation. Mostly, Clinton's fellow Democrats seem perfectly willing to embrace the corruption of this administration and provide the president with political cover of a more serious crime, that of possible treason. Worse, they've shown their dedication to keeping America vulnerable to missile attacks, by having filibustered bipartisan legislation to deploy an effective antimissile defense system as soon as technologically possible. Clinton has

brought together ancient hatreds and modern weapons through his technological leaks to the Chinese Communists. A dangerous combination that will keep the whole world up on sleepless nights.

According to Balint Vazsonyi, author of the "Thirty Years War" and a Hungarian-born historian and naturalized American citizen, the Republican party, seeing Democrats fleeing from the principles and ideals that have guided Americans since the founding of our nation, are facing an awesome responsibility and like the points of a compass, the winds of Freedom point in four directions. He says, "We must pledge allegiance to the rule of law; guaranteeing individual rights; private ownership of property and the proceeds of our labor; and a common American identity based on our Judeo-Christian based morality, our work ethic and our spirit of voluntary cooperation. Such an agenda would cause politicians to be accountable at all times, and, above all it demands equal application to and protection for every American." In addition, it would signal a return to the ideals and principles the founding fathers embodied in the Declaration of Independence and enumerated in the Constitution.

The Clintons and Social-New Democrats seek to achieve something called "social justice." A wonderful sounding term that really means that government decides what some people can have or what other people cannot have. Social justice is a double-faced term and is whatever the government says it is. In reality, social justice seeks equality of results while individual rights guarantee equal opportunity. A world of difference, and it's the reason that socialism and freedom are ultimately incompatible and why decent Americans will not allow lies and deceptions to enslave us in socialism.

Sources: Linda Bowles and Balint Vazsonyi